IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.55194 of 2007
SANJEEV KUMAR SINGH @ SANJEET KUMAR SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

9 15/09/2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

As prayed for learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to make necessary correction in the prayer portion of the petition.

The petitioner is named accused of the case which has been registered under section 395 of the Indian Penal Code regarding dacoity committed in a bank.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

It appears from the FIR that the name of the petitioner has been taken by the informant Shiv Saran Paswan on the basis of identification by two persons who were chowkidars, namely, Ganauri Gope and Devendra Paswan.

Submission is that now the trial has begun and Ganauri Gope and Devednra Paswan have been examined as PW 1 and 2 in Sessions Trial No. 31 of 2008 but they have not named or identified the petitioner. The informant was also directed to attend the T.I.P. The informant has not identified the petitioner in T.I.P. held on 15.7.2008.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner Sanjeev Kumar Singh @ Sanjeet Kumar Singh is directed to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction

of the Additional Sessions Judge, F.T.C. III, Gaya in S. T. No. 31 of 2008 arising out of Khizarsarai P.S. Case No. 46 of 2006.

avin **(Shyam Kishore Sharma, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL